UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF PENNSYEVANIA 39 BESIGNATION FORM 11-2 assignment to appropriate calendar.	
Address of Plaintiff: Rick A. Dean, 1039 West Allens Lane,	
Address of Defendant: City of Coatesville, et al. One City	Hall Place, Coatesville, PA 19320
Place of Accident, Incident or Transaction: Chester County, Pennsylva	
(Use Reverse Side For Ad	ditional Space)
Does this civil action involve a nongovernmental corporate party with any parent corporation and	i any publicly held corporation owning 10% or more of its stock?
(Attach two copies of the Disclosure Statement Form in accordance with Fed.R.Civ.P. 7.1(a))	Yes□ No団
Does this case involve multidistrict litigation possibilities?	Yes D No 🖾
RELATED CASE, IF ANY:	
Case Number: Judge	_ Date Terminated:
Civil cases are deemed related when yes is answered to any of the following questions:	
1. Is this case related to property included in an earlier numbered suit pending or within one year	
	Yes□ No 🔼
2. Does this case involve the same issue of fact or grow out of the same transaction as a prior su action in this court?	it pending or within one year previously terminated
	Yes No M
3. Does this case involve the validity or infringement of a patent already in suit or any earlier nu	mbered case pending or within one year previously
terminated action in this court?	Yes□ No⊠
4. Is this case a second or successive habeas corpus, social security appeal, or pro se civil rights	case filed by the same individual?
	Yes□ No□
CIVIL: (Place ✓ in ONE CATEGORY ONLY)	
 A. Federal Question Cases: 1. Indemnity Contract, Marine Contract, and All Other Contracts 	 B. Diversity Jurisdiction Cases: 1. □ Insurance Contract and Other Contracts
2. □ FELA	□ Airplane Personal Injury
	3. □ Assault, Defamation
3. □ Jones Act-Personal Injury 4. □ Antitrust	Assault, Defamation Marine Personal Injury
	5. ☐ Motor Vehicle Personal Injury
5. Patent	
6. □ Labor-Management Relations	6. Other Personal Injury (Please
	specify)
7. □ Civil Rights	7. □ Products Liability
8. D Habeas Corpus	8. □ Products Liability — Asbestos
9. □ Securities Act(s) Cases	9. □ All other Diversity Cases
10. □ Social Security Review Cases	(Please specify)
11. XK All other Federal Question Cases FMLA	
(Please specify) ARBITRATION CERTI	
, Patricia C. Collins (Check Appropriate Col	
XI Pursuant to Local Civil Rule 53.2, Section 3(c)(2), that to the best of my knowledge and b	elief, the damages recoverable in this civil action case exceed the sum of
\$150,000.00 exclusive of interest and costs; Relief other than monetary damages is sought.	
DATE: 9/25/09 ()	78648
Andreby-at-Law Patricia C	· Collins Attorney I.D.#
NOTE: A trial de novo will be a trial by jury only if there	has been compliance with F.R.C.P. 38.
I certify that, to my knowledge, the within case is not related to any sase now pending or w	ithin one year previously terminated action in this court
except as noted above.	• •
DATE: 9/25/09	78648
Attorney-at-Law Patricia C	
CIV. 609 (6/08)	

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF PENNSYLVANIA DESIGNATION FORMIT assignment to appropriate calendar.	to used by counsel to materia the energory of the case for the purpose of			
Address of Plaintiff: Rick A. Dean, 1039 West Allens Lane, Philadelphia, PA 19119				
Address of Defendant: City of Coatesville, et al. One Cit	y Hall Place, Coatesville, PA 19320			
Place of Accident, Incident or Transaction: Chester County, Pennsyl				
(Use Reverse Side For	Additional Space)			
Does this civil action involve a nongovernmental corporate party with any parent corporation (Attach two copies of the Disclosure Statement Form in accordance with Fed.R.Civ.P. 7.1(a				
Does this case involve multidistrict litigation possibilities?	Yes□ No⊠			
RELATED CASE, IF ANY: Case Number: Judge	Date Terminated:			
Case Humber Judge	Date Tellinhated.			
Civil cases are deemed related when yes is answered to any of the following questions:				
1. Is this case related to property included in an earlier numbered suit pending or within one	year previously terminated action in this court?			
	Yes□ No 🛣			
Does this case involve the same issue of fact or grow out of the same transaction as a prior action in this court?	suit pending or within one year previously terminated			
	Yes□ No[Ā			
3. Does this case involve the validity or infringement of a patent already in suit or any earlier				
terminated action in this court?	Yes No 🗹			
4. Is this case a second or successive habeas corpus, social security appeal, or pro se civil rigit	ats case filed by the same individual?			
	$_{\mathrm{Yes}}\square$ $_{\mathrm{No}}\square$			
CIVIL: (Place ✓ in ONE CATEGORY ONLY)				
A. Federal Question Cases:	B. Diversity Jurisdiction Cases:			
1. Indemnity Contract, Marine Contract, and All Other Contracts	1. ☐ Insurance Contract and Other Contracts			
2. □ FELA	2. ☐ Airplane Personal Injury			
3. □ Jones Act-Personal Injury	3. □ Assault, Defamation			
4. □ Antitrust	4. ☐ Marine Personal Injury			
5. □ Patent	 □ Motor Vehicle Personal Injury 			
6. □ Labor-Management Relations	6. □ Other Personal Injury (Please			
	specify)			
7. □ Civil Rights	7. □ Products Liability			
8. Habeas Corpus	 □ Products Liability — Asbestos 			
9. □ Securities Act(s) Cases	□ All other Diversity Cases			
10. □ Social Security Review Cases	(Please specify)			
11. XK All other Federal Question Cases FMLA				
(Please specify) ARBITRATION CER'	THICATION			
Check Appropriate C	Category')			
I, PAUTICIA C. COLLINS, counsel of record do hereby cert XI Pursuant to Local Civil Rule 53.2, Section 3(c)(2), that to the best of my knowledge and				
\$150,000.00 exclusive of interest and costs;	is series, the damages recoverable in this ervit detail state state of the state of			
□ Relief other than monetary damages is sought.				
DATE: 9/25/09	78648			
Attorney-at-Law Patricia	C. Collins Attorney I.D.#			
NOTE: A trial de novo will be a trial by jury only if th	ere has been compliance with F.R.C.P. 38.			
I certify that, to my knowledge, the within case is not related to any case now pending or	within one year previously terminated action in this court			
except as noted above.				
DATE: 9/25/09	78648			
CIV. 609 (6/08) Attorney-at-Law Patricia	C. COLLINS Attorney l.D.#			

CIVIL COVER SHEET

Case 2:09-cv-04399-SD Document 1 Filed 09/28/09 Page 3 of 24

The JS 44 civil cover sheet and the information contained herein neither replace nor supplement the filing and service of pleadings or other papers as required by law, except as provided by local rules of court. This form, approved by the Judicial Conference of the United States in September 1974, is required for the use of the Clerk of Court for the purpose of initiating the civil docket sheet. (SEE INSTRUCTIONS ON THE REVERSE OF THE FORM.) I. (a) PLAINTIFFS DEFENDANTS City of Coatesville and Harry Rick A. Dean

1039 West Allens Lane, Phila., PA 19119	One City Hall Pl., Coatesville, PA
(b) County of Residence of First Listed Plaintiff Philadelphia	County of Residence of First Listed Defendant Che
(EXCEPT IN U.S. PLAINTIFF CASES)	(IN U.S. PLAINTIFF CASES ONLY)
	NOTE: IN LAND CONDEMNATION CASES, USE THE LOCAL LAND INVOLVED.
(015) 220 7500	

(c) Attorney's (Firm Name, Address, and Telephone Number) (215) 230-7500 Antheil Maslow & MacMinn, LLP 131 W. State St., Doylestown, PA 18901 II. BASIS OF JURISDICTION (Place an "X" in One Box Only) III. CITIZENSHIP OF PRINCIPAL PARTIES(Place an "X" in One Box for Plaintiff

Walker, Ind. and as City Manager of Coatesville 19320 ester TION OF THE Attorneys (If Known)

	•	(For Diversity Cases	Only)	and One Box for Defendant)
U.S. Government	(U.S. Government Not a Party)	Citizen of This State	PTF DEF 1 lncorporated or of Business In T	
☐ 2 U.S. Government Defendant	 4 Diversity (Indicate Citizenship of Parties in 	Citizen of Another State	☐ 2 ☐ 2 Incorporated and of Business In	/Principal Place 🛭 5 🗇 5 n Another State
		Citizen or Subject of a Foreign Country	☐ 3 ☐ 3 Foreign Nation	06 06
IV. NATURE OF SUI	T (Place an "X" in One Box Only)			
CONTRACT	TORTS	MORRE TURE/PENA	LTY BANKRUPTCY	OTHER STATUTES
☐ 110 Insurance ☐ 120 Marine ☐ 130 Miller Act ☐ 140 Negotiable Instrument ☐ 150 Recovery of Overpayment Æ Enforcement of Judgment ☐ 151 Medicare Act ☐ 152 Recovery of Defaulted Student Loans (Excl. Veterans) ☐ 153 Recovery of Overpayment of Veteran's Benefits ☐ 160 Stockholders' Suits ☐ 190 Other Contract	□ 310 Airplane □ 362 Pers □ 315 Airplane Product Mec Liability □ 365 Pers □ 320 Assault, Libel & Product □ Stander □ 368 Asb □ 330 Federal Employers' Liability □ □ 340 Marine PERSONA □ 345 Marine Product □ □ 350 Motor Vehicle □ □ 700 Other Personal □ □ 360 Other Personal □ □ 360 Other Personal □ □ 441 Voting □ □ 442 Employment □ □ 443 Housing/ □ □ 444 Welfare □ □ 444 Welfare □ □ 535 Dean	NAL INJURY sonal Injury - d. Malpractice conal Injury - d. Color Color Color Color Color Color conal Color C	422 Appeal 28 USC 158 423 Withdrawal 28 USC 157 423 Withdrawal 28 USC 157 820 Copyrights 830 Patent 840 Trademark 840 Trademark 861 HIA (1395ff) 862 Black Lung (923) 863 DIWC/DIWW (405(g)) 865 RSI (405(g)) 870 Taxes (U.S. Plaintiff or Defendant) 871 IRS.—Third Party 26 USC 7609	400 State Reapportionment 410 Antitrust 430 Banks and Banking 450 Commerce 460 Deportation 470 Racketeer Influenced and Corrupt Organizations 480 Consumer Credit 490 Cable/Sat TV 810 Selective Service 850 Securities/Commodities/Exchange 875 Customer Challenge 12 USC 3410 890 Other Statutory Actions 891 Agricultural Acts
XX Original 2 R Proceeding S			Transferred from another district (specify) lictional statutes unless diversity)	on Judement
VI. CAUSE OF ACTI	ON Brief description of cause:	rongful termination		
VII. REQUESTED IN COMPLAINT:			CHECK YES onl JURY DEMANI	y if demanded in complaint: D: 💆 Yes 🗇 No
VIII. RELATED CAS IF ANY	(See instructions); JUDGE	<u> </u>	DOCKET NUMBER	
DATE 09/25/2009	SIGNA	URE OF ATTORNEY OF RECORD	Patricia C.	Collins, Esquire
FOR OFFICE USE ONLY	\ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \	\cup		
RECEIPT# A	MOUNT APPL	YING IFP JUI	DGE MAG. JU	UDGE

Case 2:09 IN THE SON PED OF ATTEST DISTRICT OF PENNSYLVANIA

CASE MANAGEMENT TRACK DESIGNATION FORM

RICK A. DEAN	:	CIVIL ACTION
	:	
V.	:	
CITY OF COATESVILLE and HARRY WALKER, Ind.	:	3.70
and as City Manager of Coatesville	:	NO.
In accordance with the Civil Justice Expense	and Delay Peduction Dia	n of this court cour

In accordance with the Civil Justice Expense and Delay Reduction Plan of this court, counsel for plaintiff shall complete a Case Management Track Designation Form in all civil cases at the time of filing the complaint and serve a copy on all defendants. (See § 1:03 of the plan set forth on the reverse side of this form.) In the event that a defendant does not agree with the plaintiff regarding said designation, that defendant shall, with its first appearance, submit to the clerk of court and serve on the plaintiff and all other parties, a Case Management Track Designation Form specifying the track to which that defendant believes the case should be assigned.

SELECT ONE OF THE FOLLOWING CASE MANAGEMENT TRACKS:

Telephone	ephone FAX Number E-Mail Address					
215-230-7500	215-230-7996	pcollins @ammlaw.com	<u>1</u>			
Date	Attorney-at-law	Attorney for				
09/25/2009	Patricia C. Collins	Plaintiff				
(f) Standard Managemen	t – Cases that do not fall into any or	ne of the other tracks.	(X)			
commonly referred to	- Cases that do not fall into tracks (as complex and that need special or e side of this form for a detailed exp	r intense management by	()			
(d) Asbestos – Cases invo exposure to asbestos.	olving claims for personal injury or	property damage from	()			
(c) Arbitration – Cases re	c) Arbitration – Cases required to be designated for arbitration under Local Civil Rule 53.2.					
(b) Social Security – Case and Human Services of	b) Social Security – Cases requesting review of a decision of the Secretary of Health and Human Services denying plaintiff Social Security Benefits.					
(a) Habeas Corpus – Case	Habeas Corpus - Cases brought under 28 U.S.C. § 2241 through § 2255.					

(Civ. 660) 10/02

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF PENNSYLVANIA

RICK A. DEAN : NO.

1039 West Allens Lane

Philadelphia, PA 19119

Plaintiff

VS.

CITY OF COATESVILLE

One City Hall Place

Coatesville, PA 19320 : JURY TRIAL DEMANDED

Defendant

and

HARRY WALKER, individually and

in his capacity as City Manager of CITY OF COATESVILLE

One City Hall Place

Coatesville, PA 19320

Defendant : CIVIL ACTION

COMPLAINT

Plaintiff, Rick A. Dean, by and through his undersigned counsel, hereby submits this Complaint against the City of Coatesville and Harry Walker, and states:

I. THE PARTIES

- Plaintiff is an adult individual residing at 1039 West Allens Lane, Philadelphia,
 Pennsylvania.
- 2. Defendant City of Coatesville ("Coatesville") is a government entity with a principal place of business at One City Hall Place, Coatesville, Pennsylvania.
- 3. Defendant Harry Walker ("Walker") is the City Manager of the City of Coatesville.
- 4. At all times relevant hereto, Defendant Walker acted within the course and scope of his employment with Coatesville, except where indicated otherwise.

II. JURISDICTION

5. The Court has jurisdiction over this matter pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1331, the Family and Medical Leave Act ("FMLA"), 29 U.S.C. § 2601 et seq., and 28 U.S.C. § 1367.

III. VENUE

6. Venue is proper in the Eastern District of Pennsylvania pursuant to 29 U.S.C. § 1391(b), because Defendant resides within the Eastern District of Pennsylvania, and the claims arose in the Eastern District of Pennsylvania.

IV. STATEMENT OF FACTS

- 7. Coatesville is a covered employer pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 2611.
- 8. Plaintiff was employed by Coatesville as the Finance Director from September 11, 2006 until his termination on or about October 2, 2008.
- 9. Plaintiff was thus employed by Coatesville for more than twelve (12) months and worked in excess of 1250 hours during the twelve months prior to his FMLA leave.
 - 10. Plaintiff was a covered employee pursuant to 29 U.S.C. § 2611.
 - 11. Plaintiff was eligible for FMLA leave at all times relevant hereto.
- 12. Plaintiff was also subject to the City of Coatesville Municipal Code, which requires that City Council approve any decision to terminate Plaintiff's employment.
 - 13. On September 8, 2008, Plaintiff was seriously injured in a motor vehicle accident.
- 14. Plaintiff was absent from work due to his injuries from September 9 to September 15, 2008.
- 15. Plaintiff notified Defendant Walker that he would be absent from work by electronic mail on September 9, 2009.
- 16. Plaintiff notified Coatesville and Walker that he would not be at work on those days. At no time was he notified that his absence from work was "unauthorized."

- 17. Plaintiff returned to work on September 15, 2008.
- 18. Plaintiff was then absent from work from September 16 to September 19, 2008.
- 19. Plaintiff notified Coatesville and Walker that he would not be at work on those days. At no time was he notified that his absence was "unauthorized."
- 20. On September 23, 2008, Plaintiff notified Walker that he would be out until October 7, 2008 due to prescheduled doctor's appointments and other care necessitated by the motor vehicle accident. See email, attached hereto as Exhibit "A."
- 21. On or about September 23, 2008, Plaintiff notified Walker again that he would be out.
- 22. On or about September 29, 2008, Plaintiff sent notifications from his physician regarding his need to be absent from work and to receive additional care to Coatesville by facsimile. See Medical Forms, attached hereto as Exhibit "B."
 - 23. Defendants did not respond to these notifications.
- 24. Instead, on October 3, 2008, Coatesville, through Walker, terminated Plaintiff's employment.
- 25. Such termination was for the purpose of interfering with Plaintiff's rights under the FMLA.
- 26. Defendants' termination letter stated that Plaintiff's employment was terminated pursuant to Section 35-8(B) of the Code, because he had failed to report to work for three consecutive workdays without authorized leave.
- 27. This statement is untrue and pretextual, as Plaintiff reported to Defendant that he would need leave on September 9, 22, 23 and 29, 2008, and Defendant failed to respond to the request.

- 28. Any days off that Plaintiff took as of result of the September 9, 2009 accident were authorized, and necessitated by the serious health condition created by the accident.
- 29. Defendants also cited the collective bargaining agreement as a basis for termination, but Plaintiff is not subject to the collective bargaining agreement as a member of executive management.
- 30. The Code of the City of Coatesville allows termination for job abandonment where an employee fails to report to work for three (3) consecutive workdays without authorized leave. See Section 35-8(B), attached hereto as Exhibit "C."
- 31. This provision does not apply to Plaintiff's termination, as set forth above, because his absences from work were authorized, he was entitled to leave under the FMLA, and Defendant ignored his requests for leave.
- 32. There was no cause for Plaintiff's termination as provided for in the Code of the City of Coatesville, which allows termination for delinquency, misconduct, inefficiency or incapability to perform the work of the position satisfactorily, and requires such "cause" dismissals to be approved by City Council. <u>See</u> Section 35-8(D), attached hereto as Exhibit "C."
 - 33. Plaintiff's termination was not approved by the City Council.
- 34. Despite knowing that the basis for the termination was false, Defendant Walker and City Council President Karen Jorgenson informed other employees of Coatesville, and made statements to reporters, that Plaintiff was terminated for "job abandonment."
- 35. Defendant Walker stated that he had no idea why Dean had "stopped showing up for work," and said "I think Mr. Dean fired Coatesville."
- 36. Articles appeared in *The Chester County Reporter* on October 4 and 8, 2008. See articles from *The Chester County Reporter*, attached hereto as Exhibit "D."

- 37. The statement that Plaintiff abandoned his job is defamatory in nature, as it would be interpreted by others in a negative fashion, so as to lower him in the estimation of the community, deter third parties, including, but not limit to future employers, from associating with him, and adversely affect his reputation for fitness for the proper conduct of his profession.
- 38. As a result, *inter alia*, of these defamatory statements, Plaintiff has been unable to secure full-time employment.
 - 39. No privilege applies to these statements.
- 40. Defendant Walker acted with malice in making those statements, and made them for the purpose of causing injury to Plaintiff.
- 41. Defendants' conduct has damaged Plaintiff in the form of lost back pay, lost benefits, lost vesting in pension and retirement benefits, inability to find new full-time employment, reputational harm, and emotional pain and suffering.

COUNT I

VIOLATIONS OF THE FMLA

PLAINTIFF v. ALL DEFENDANTS

- 42. Plaintiff incorporates by reference the foregoing paragraphs as if more fully set forth herein.
- 43. Defendant violated the FMLA by failing to provide Plaintiff with notice of his rights under the FMLA after receiving notice that Plaintiff suffered a serious health condition under the FMLA, and requested leave.
- 44. Defendant violated the FMLA by terminating Plaintiff for the purposes of interfering with his rights under the FMLA.
- 45. Defendant violated the FMLA by terminating Plaintiff in retaliation for exercising his rights under the FMLA.

46. As a result of Defendants' conduct, Plaintiff suffered a loss of income and employment, and all of the benefits attendant thereto, which damages continue at present and which may continue in the foreseeable future.

WHEREFORE, for all the foregoing reasons, Plaintiff demands judgment in his favor and against Defendants as follows:

- a. Reinstatement, or front pay in lieu thereof;
- b. all wages and benefits to which Plaintiff is entitled pursuant to 29 U.S.C. § 2617(A);
- c. pre- and post-judgment interest thereon pursuant to 29 U.S.C. § 2617(A)(ii);
- d. liquidated damages pursuant to 29 U.S.C. § 2617(A)(iii);
- e. attorneys fees; and
- f. such other relief as the Court deems just and proper.

COUNT II

42 U.S.C. § 1983 – DEPRIVATION OF PROPERTY RIGHTS BY WRONGFUL TERMINATION

PLAINTIFF v. ALL DEFENDANTS

- 47. Plaintiff incorporates by reference the foregoing paragraphs as if more fully set forth herein.
- 48. The Code of the City of Coatesville prohibits Defendants from terminating his employment where there is no job abandonment, no cause as listed in the Code, and no approval of City Council.
 - 49. The Code creates a property interest in Plaintiff's employment.
- 50. Defendants terminated Plaintiff's employment even though he had not abandoned his job, no cause existed, and no approval of City Council was obtained.

- 51. Defendants Coatesville and Walker are "persons" within the meaning of 42 U.S.C. § 1983 ("Section 1983").
- 52. Defendants violated Plaintiff's rights under the Fourteenth Amendment to be free from deprivation of property without due process of law, by terminating his employment without affording him the protections of the Code of the City of Coatesville.
 - 53. Defendant Walker acted in his personal capacity in terminating Plaintiff.
- 54. Defendant Walker had policy-making authority in his decision to terminate Plaintiff.
 - 55. Defendant Coatesville ratified the actions of Defendant Walker.
- 56. As a result of Defendants' conduct, Plaintiff suffered a loss of income and employment, and all of the benefits attendant thereto, which damages continue at present and which may continue in the foreseeable future.

WHEREFORE, for all the foregoing reasons, Plaintiff demands judgment in his favor and against Defendants, jointly and severally, for damages and punitive damages, together with attorney's fees, interest and costs of suit.

COUNT III

42 U.S.C. § 1983 – DEPRIVATION OF PROPERTY RIGHTS (REPUTATION AND EMPLOYMENT) BY DEFAMATION

PLAINTIFF v. ALL DEFENDANTS

- 57. Plaintiff incorporates by reference the foregoing paragraphs as if more fully set forth herein.
- 58. Defendant Walker published one or more oral and written false statements which were intended to impeach Plaintiff's honesty, integrity and reputation.
 - 59. The defamatory statements included, but were not limited to, the following:

- a. On information and belief, statements to employees of the City of Coatesville that
 Plaintiff had abandoned his job;
- **b.** On information and belief, statements to City Council that Plaintiff had abandoned his job;
- c. Statements published in the Chester County Reporter in October 2008 that Plaintiff had abandoned his job, had "stopped showing up for work," and "fired Coatesville."
- 60. The articles and statements referred to Plaintiff by name, were made about Plaintiff, and were understood by those who heard and/or read them to be about Plaintiff.
 - 61. The articles and statements were knowingly false.
- 62. The articles and statements expose Plaintiff to hatred, contempt and ridicule because they charge Plaintiff with irresponsibility and a lack of commitment to his employment, and because they impugn his honesty, integrity and/or reputation.
- 63. Plaintiff has, during all relevant times hereto, enjoyed a positive reputation, both in his personal and professional life.
- 64. The statements harm the reputation of Plaintiff so as to lower him in the estimation of the community, deter third parties, including, but not limited to, future employers, from associating with him, and adversely affect his reputation for fitness for the proper conduct of his profession.
 - 65. The statements were both false and damaging.
 - 66. Defendant Walker acted in his personal capacity in making these statements.
- 67. Defendant Walker had policy-making authority in his decision to make statements to the press.
 - 68. Defendant Coatesville ratified the actions of Defendant Walker.

- 69. As a result of this wrongful conduct, Plaintiff has suffered and continues to suffer emotional, physical and economic harm.
- 70. Defendant Walker's conduct, to the extent taken within the course and scope of his employment, constitutes a violation of Section 1983, by depriving Plaintiff of his liberty, interests in employment and a positive reputation without due process of law.

WHEREFORE, for all the foregoing reasons, Plaintiff demands judgment in his favor and against Defendants, jointly and severally, for damages and punitive damages, together with attorney's fees, interest and costs of suit.

COUNT IV

DEFAMATION

PLAINTIFF v. DEFENDANT WALKER

- 71. Plaintiff incorporates by reference the foregoing paragraphs as if more fully set forth herein.
- 72. Alternatively, to the extent Defendant Walker's conduct took place outside the course and scope of his employment, Defendant Walker is personally liable to Plaintiff.
- 73. Defendant Walker published one or more oral and written false statements which were intended to impeach Plaintiff's honesty, integrity and reputation.
 - 74. The defamatory statements included, but were not limited to, the following:
 - a. On information and belief, statements to employees of the City of Coatesville that
 Plaintiff had abandoned his job;
 - **b.** On information and belief, statements to City Council that Plaintiff had abandoned his job;
 - c. Statements published in the Chester County Reporter in October 2008 that Plaintiff had abandoned his job, had "stopped showing up for work," and "fired Coatesville."

75. The articles and statements referred to Plaintiff by name, were made about

Plaintiff, and were understood by those who heard and/or read them to be about Plaintiff.

76. The articles and statements were false.

77. The articles and statements expose Plaintiff to hatred, contempt and ridicule

because they charge Plaintiff with irresponsibility and a lack of commitment to his employment,

and because they impugn his honesty, integrity and/or reputation.

78. Plaintiff has, during all relevant times hereto, enjoyed a positive reputation, both

in his personal and professional life.

79. The statements harm the reputation of Plaintiff so as to lower him in the

estimation of the community, deter third parties, including, but not limited to, future employers,

from associating with him, and adversely affect his reputation for fitness for the proper conduct

of his profession.

80. The statements were both false and damaging.

81. As a result of this wrongful conduct, Plaintiff has suffered and continues to suffer

emotional, physical and economic harm.

WHEREFORE, for all the foregoing reasons, Plaintiff demands judgment in his favor and

against Defendant Walker for damages and punitive damages, together with interest and costs of

suit.

Respectfully submitted,

ANTHEIL MASLOW & MACMINN, LLP

By:

Patricia C. Collins, Esquire

Attorney I.D. # 78648

EXHIBIT "A"

"Maria Kauffman" <kaumar@Coatesville.org>

Subject: FW: Follow Up

Date: October 6, 2008 9:42:50 AM EDT

<tcrngrp@comcast.net>

hi

From: Rick Dean

Sent: Tuesday, September 23, 2008 4:18 PM

To: Harry Walker Cc: Maria Kauffman Subject: Follow Up

Harry

I have a series of appointments thru 10/7/08

I will not know the outcome until I return to my primary Dr after the 7th I will be out sick until then

Rick

CONFIDENTIALITY NOTE: The information contained in this e-mail message is intended only for the confidential use of the person or persons named above. If the reader of this message is not the intended recipient or the employer or agent responsible for delivering it to the intended recipient, you are notified that you have received this e-mail message in error and that any retention, review, use, dissemination, distribution or copying of this e-mail message and/or the information contained herein is strictly prohibited. If you have received this e-mail message in error, please immediately notify City Hall by telephone at 610-384-0300 and delete the e-mail.

EXHIBIT "B"

Einstein	Naini	hho	rhn	nΑ	į.	ie:	althr	aro
	140171	100			•	-		
District the last								

Jefferson Health System

Northwest Medical Center

1602 Wadsworth Avenue Philadelphia, PA 19150 215-753-9438 (Adult) 215-753-6623 (Pediatrics) 215-753-9448 Fax

O Denina Helm, M.D. O Azra Qureshi, M.D. O Stephen Levin, M.D.

Certificate for return to school or work

Mr. Mrs.)can	Riche	has been under
my care from	9-19-08	to 4-76 (15
and is able to 1	eturn to school /	work on 9 - 29 - 08
Limitations:		
Remarks:		
•		
Dr	Plı	one
Address		1
Date 📶	à l V	1 chull
The state of the s	W. T.	Bev 5/07

[215] 456-8819 [215] 456-3533 Fax Case 2:09-cv-04399-SD Document 1 Filed 09/28/09 Page 19 of 24

Vincent Figueredo, MD Albert Einstein Medical Center 5401 Old York Road Philadelphia, PA 19141 Lic. No: MD426336

Date: $\frac{10708}{53}$

.MD

Address:

Mr Dean

Name: RICKIE

is under my

Refill - 0-1-2-3-4-pm

Substitution Permissible

In order for a brand name to be dispensed, the prescriber must handwrite "BRAND NECESSARY" or "BRAND MEDICALLY NECESSARY" in the space below.

EXHIBIT "C"

§ 35-8. Separation from service.

In addition to voluntary retirement, an employee may be separated from the service of the City of Coatesville by any one (1) of the methods described below:

- A. Resignation. To resign in good standing, an employee must give his or her department supervisor or department head at least fourteen (14) calendar days' prior notice.
- B. Quitting. An employee who fails to report to work for three (3) consecutive workdays without authorized leave shall be separated from the payroll and reported as quit.
- C. Layoffs.
 - (1) Any involuntary separation not involving delinquency, misconduct or inefficiency shall be considered a layoff.
 - (2) When it becomes necessary to reduce the working force in a department or division thereof because of lack of funds or other cause, employees shall be laid off. Prior to a reduction in force, the names and job titles of any and all permanent employees scheduled for layoff shall be submitted to the City Council for approval, and not until the City Council has approved and confirmed the names submitted for layoff shall any layoff be consummated.
 - (3) When an employee is unable to continue his or her work because of a physical or mental disability and has exhausted his or her accumulated leave, he or she shall be separated by means of a layoff or given a leave of absence without pay. Disability shall be determined by a physician authorized by the administrative director.
- D. Dismissals. Dismissals are discharges or separation made for delinquency, misconduct, inefficiency or inability to perform the work of the position satisfactorily. All dismissals are made by approval of the City Council upon the recommendation of the appropriate department head.

§ 35-9. Disciplinary actions; appeals.

Except as otherwise provided for by statutes, the following provisions shall govern disciplinary actions affecting employees in the city service:

- A. Dismissals. See § 35-8D.
- B. Suspensions. A department supervisor may, for disciplinary purposes, suspend without pay an employee in his or her department for such length of time as he or she considers appropriate, not exceeding three (3) days. A written statement specifically setting forth reasons for such suspension shall be furnished to the affected employee by his or her department supervisor and a copy filed with the Administrative Director. With the approval of the City Council, an employee may be suspended for a longer period, pending the investigation or trial of any charges against him or her.
- C. Reasons for disciplinary actions. Listed below are some of the reasons which might be causes of disciplinary action, but disciplinary action is not limited to the offenses listed:
 - (1) Being convicted of a crime.
 - Insubordination (disobedience).
 - (3) Too much lost time.
 - (4) Being absent without leave.
 - (5) Excessive tardiness.
 - (6) Inefficiency.
 - (7) Abuse of city property.
 - (8) Giving false statements to supervisors or the public.
 - (9) Use of alcoholic beverages on city property, including vehicles, or during duty.
 - (10) Violation of city ordinances, administrative regulations or departmental rules.
 - (11) Any disgraceful conduct which reflects unfavorably on the city as an employer.

EXHIBIT "O"

Case 2:09-cv-04399-SD Document 1 Filed 09/28/09 Page 23 of 24

ChesterCountyReporter.com

XE you don't want it reported, don't do it!

Home

Coatesville finance director fired

by Allen Davis Staff Writer Posted: Saturdey, 4 Oct. 11:30 p.m.

Coatesville Finance Director Ricky Dean has been fired, the ChesterCountyReporter.com has learned. Dean reportedly was notified of his termination while at home where he is recuperating from injuries sustained in an auto accident.

Kristen Greiger, public relations specialist for the city, refused to comment. She said the city doesn't comment on personnel issues.

But news of Dean's dismissal has spread throughout City Hall. "Everybody here knows about it . . . we were told he wouldn't be coming back," said one person who requested anonymity.

Dean was reportedly injured in an auto accident while traveling home from a city council meeting. Dean has missed the Sept. 22 and 29 council meeting and the Sept. 15 redevelopment authority meeting.

Dean's dismissal comes at a time the city is preparing its 2009 budget.

Dean was hired in 2006 after Walker forced the resignation of then Finance Director Elizabeth McQuisten who was hired by former City Manager Paul Janssen.

You can e-mail Alien Davis at: allen@chestercountyreporter.com

Case 2:09-cv-04399-SD Document 1 Filed 09/28/09 Page 24 of 24

ChesterCountyReporter.com

If you don't want it reported, don't do it!

Home

Dean fired for job abandonment, but city places ad while Dean still on the job

by Allen Davis Staff Writer Posted: Wednesday, 8 Oct, 11:30 a.m. Am. CHEISTOPHUR BOOM

Coatesville City Finance Director Ricky Dean was fired for job abandonment on Thursday, but efforts to remove Dean began weeks before he suffered injuries in a Sept. 8 auto accident that reportedly prevented him from immediately returning to work.

The ChesterCountyReporter.com has learned that on Aug. 26, two weeks before Dean was to miss work, the city called into the website MonsterJobs.com and placed a \$770 ad for a city finance director. Payment of the ad was approved by the Niki Oxendine, the city's human resource director. Under the heading of VP/Director of Finance, Coatesville, the ad reads: "Highly responsible financial and administrative position responsible for the financial records of the city."

Council Vice President Kareem Johnson yesterday said that only "very recently" was he made aware of the ad on Monater Johnson. The Aug. 26 placement of the ad, said Johnson, doesn't fit with the idea Dean was fired for job abandonment.

And as of yesterday afternoon Johnson wasn't aware that Donald McKenzle was working in the finance department. "I heard that someone was in that position, but I have heard no details," said Johnson.

Council Member Ed Simpson and Johnson both said that they first became aware of Dean's firing in the media, it was only after that, said Simpson, that Council President Karen Jorgenson sent an e-mail to council members saying Dean had been fired.

"I have yet to be told why," Simpson said yesterday. However, he said the e-mail alluded to missing work without reporting off."

Seid Johnson: "I'm not happy with how it is being handled. Council was never given the opportunity to weigh in on the decision making process."

Desn's firing comes at a time the city is experiencing budget problems. City Manager Harry Walker, in an interview following the Sept. 22 council meeting, confirmed a hiring freeze was in place, citing budget problems. He said the police and fire departments were excluded from the hiring freeze.

The hiring freeze was first mentioned publicly when District Attorney Joe Carroll questioned Police Chief William Matthews at an August public forum on violence if it was true the city had imposed a hiring freeze. "Not for the police department," said Matthews. And at the Sept. 22 council meeting Simpson questioned Walker whether there was a hiring freeze and would it prevent filling a new, full-time position in the fire department, Walker responded the fire department was excluded.

Deen's firing comes at the time the city is beginning to prepare next year's budget and is likely to experience a budget shortfall this year. Last year the city was able to approve a balanced budget only because it projected \$1.3 million from the sale of the Conti property and \$600,000 in building permit fees. Both, so far, have failed to materialize.

Dean last reported to work on Sept. 8. That night, following a city council meeting, he was injured in an auto accident en-route to his home.

"I expect an exciting executive session Monday night," said Johnson, Council next meets Sept. 13. A closed-door executive session normally proceeds the public meeting.

You can e-mail Allen Davis at: allen@chestercountyreporter.com